Abstract: Lanthanide-doped upconversion nanoparticles (UCNPs) have attracted considerable attention for their application in biomedicine. Here, silica-coated NaGdF₄:Yb,Er/NaGdF₄ nanoparticles with a tetrasubstituted carboxy aluminum phthalocyanine (AlC₄Pc) photosensitizer covalently incorporated inside the silica shells were prepared and applied in the photodynamic therapy (PDT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of cancer cells. These UCNPs were uniform in size, stable against photosensitizer leaching, and highly efficient in photogenerating cytotoxic singlet oxygen under near-infrared (NIR) light. In vitro studies indicated that these nanoparticles could effectively kill cancer cells upon NIR irradiation. Moreover, the nanoparticles also demonstrated good MR contrast, both in aqueous solution and inside cells. This is the first time that NaGdF₄:Yb,Er/NaGdF₄ upconversion-nanocrystal-based multifunctional nanomaterials have been synthesized and applied in PDT. Our results show that these multifunctional nanoparticles are very promising for applications in versatile imaging diagnosis and as a therapy tool in biomedical engineering.
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Introduction

Photodynamic therapy (PDT) is a promising technique for the treatment of various types of cancer. In this technique, photosensitizers (PSs) are brought into or onto the corresponding cancer tissue and irradiated with a laser. Upon irradiation, the activated PSs transfer energy to ground-state oxygen molecules to generate cytotoxic singlet oxygen (¹O₂) that can irreversibly damage the diseased cells and tissues.[5,6] For an effective and efficient PDT, the judicious selection of PS is crucial. To date, several types of PSs, such as porphyrin derivatives, chlorins, and phthalocyanines, have been developed and used in the clinical treatment of certain cancers.[7–9] However, most of these aforementioned PSs are excited by visible light, which only penetrates tissue a few millimeters in depth, and so they are usually only suitable for treating epithelial tumors, such as skin or breast tumors, and are less effective for internal or large tumors.[10] In biological tissues, the good optical transmission window is in the near-infrared (NIR) range (700–1000 nm),[11] which affords deep light penetration, low autofluorescence and photodamage, and reduced light scattering. Therefore, for practical applications, the exploration of new PSs that can be activated with NIR light is of particular interest. Herein, we used 980 nm laser beam to irradiate α-NaGdF₄:Yb,Er/NaGdF₄ upconversion nanocrystals and then utilized the emission from the nanocrystal to activate a tetrasubstituted carboxy aluminum phthalocyanine PS for the photodynamic therapy of liver cancer cells.

The use of biocompatible carriers, such as microcapsules, liposomes, oligopeptides, polymeric micelles, and upconversion-nanocrystal-decorated nanosystems, to deliver PSs have been reported to improve PDT efficiency.[12–27] These drug carriers not only enhance the reactivity of PSs, but can also be tailored to an appropriate size for their preferential accumulation at the tumor site owing to a phenomenon known as the “enhanced permeability and retention effect”.[22] Among these various delivery platforms, nanoparticle systems containing lanthanide-doped NIR-to-visible upconversion nanoparticles (UCNPs) have gained significant recent attention.[23–27] For instance, silica-coated NaYF₄ (NaYF₄:silica) upconversion nanoparticles, which have the photosensitizer merocyanine 540 incorporated into the silica shells, were synthesized and used in PDT by Zhang et al.[23] Mesoporous-silica-coated NaYF₄:silica nanoparticles with core/shell structures were also reported for synergistic optical imaging and PDT applications.[25] In comparison with
other PDT carrier systems, UCNP-based carriers have the following prominent advantages: 1) they can be excited with NIR radiation, which penetrates biological tissue as deep as several centimeters without damaging the tissue; 2) they can emit at various wavelengths (blue, green, red, etc.) by an appropriate choice of the doping emitter ion; 3) the strong UV/Vis emissions can be further absorbed by the adjacent PS molecules to generate \( \text{O}_2 \) to kill cancer cells; and 4) these systems can be developed with multifunctional capabilities for simultaneous imaging (fluorescence or magnetic resonance) diagnosis and PDT therapy by changing the type of UCNPs. Previously reported upconversion nanocrystals for PDT were mainly based on NaYF\(_4\) UCNPs.\(^{[23–27]}\) To the best of our knowledge, the application of NaGdF\(_4\) UCNPs in PDT has not yet been reported. Moreover, PSs are typically loaded into such NaYF\(_4\)-based composite nanoparticles through noncovalent interactions, which can cause leaking problems in harsh biological environments.

Herein, we report the synthesis of NaGdF\(_4\):Yb,Er/NaGdF\(_4\) core, PS-doped silica shell nanocomposites, and their applications in the magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and PDT of cancer cells. A new PS, tetrasubstituted carboxy aluminum phthalocyanine (AlC\(_4\)Pc; see the Supporting Information, Figure S1), was covalently linked to the silica shells during the silica-coating process. Under NIR-light excitation (980 nm), the upconversion luminescence from Er\(^{3+}\) doped in the NaGdF\(_4\) UCNPs (650–670 nm) was used to activate photosensitizing molecules of AlC\(_4\)Pc, which had strong absorbance in the same spectral region as the red emission of the UCNPs, and to generate cytotoxic \( \text{O}_2 \) to kill cancer cells. Gd\(^{3+}\) ions in the host matrix imparted short \( T_1 \) (spin-lattice relaxation time) and \( T_2 \) (spin–spin relaxation time), which facilitated high-contrast MR imaging.

Compared with previously reported silica- or mesoporous-silica-coated UCNPs, our NaGdF\(_4\)-based nanocomposite had a small size-controllable diameter (ca. 38 nm), which improved cell-uptake efficiency owing to the size-dependent uptake of cells on the particles.\(^{[28,29]}\) The thin, biocompatible silica shell also ensured that the UCNPs and PSs were in close proximity to one another, which maximized the photosensitizer excitation efficiency. Moreover, the covalent coupling of PSs in the rigid network structure helped to obviate the degradation of PS in harsh biological environments, and to overcome their premature release. We demonstrated the effectiveness of these nanocomposites in PDT and MRI by in vitro cell experiments. This is the first report of the application of NaGdF\(_4\)-based nanocomposites in both MRI and PDT.

Results and Discussion

Synthesis and Characterization of UCNP@SiO\(_2\)(AlC\(_4\)Pc) Nanoparticles

Upconversion NaGdF\(_4\):Yb,Er/NaGdF\(_4\) (UCNPs) nanocrystals were prepared by thermal decomposition, according to a literature procedure.\(^{[30]}\) TEM analysis showed that the UCNPs were uniform in size with an edge-length of about 22 nm (Figure 1a). The crystalline phase of the UCNPs was further characterized using high-resolution TEM (HRTEM) and X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis. HRTEM of a single UCNPs revealed that the distance between lattice fringes was 0.277 nm (Figure 1a, inset), which corre-
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超快上转换纳米在生物医学上的应用引起了研究者的浓厚兴趣。本文制备了氧化钇包覆的NaGdF₄:Yb,Er/NaGdF₄核-壳复合材料(UCNPs@SiO₂(AlC₄Pc))，并对这些复合纳米材料中\( \text{O}_2 \)的生成以及\( \text{O}_2 \)对癌细胞的杀伤作用进行了研究。结果表明，这些复合纳米材料中\( \text{O}_2 \)的生成是通过\( \text{O}_2 \)的产生和\( \text{O}_2 \)的消除两个过程实现的。通过\( \text{O}_2 \)的产生，复合纳米材料中\( \text{O}_2 \)的生成过程是在复合纳米材料中\( \text{O}_2 \)的产生和\( \text{O}_2 \)的消除两个过程实现的。
ure 1b) and were easily dispersed in water. The thickness of the silica shell was easily regulated from 3–10 nm by varying the amount of TEOS (see the Supporting Information, Figure S2), which allowed us to effectively control the diameter of the UCNP@SiO2(AlC4Pc) nanoparticles in the range of 28–42 nm. We chose particles with diameters of about 38 nm for our following experiments. Successful silica coating was further confirmed by energy-dispersive X-ray analysis (EDXA; see the Supporting Information, Figure S3) and by XRD analysis (Figure 1c). EDXA analysis showed the presence of Si, Gd, F, Yb, and Er in the sample of UCNP@SiO2 (AlC4Pc) nanoparticles, whilst there was no signal for Si in the sample of parent UCNPs. Moreover, the peaks in the XRD pattern of UCNP@SiO2(AlC4Pc) (Figure 1c) were consistent with that of pure cubic-phase NaGdF4 crystals; however, the intensity was weak, owing to the influence of amorphous SiO2.

The UCNP@SiO2(AlC4Pc) nanoparticles formed a clear-blue solution in PBS buffer (phosphate-buffered saline; Figure 2a, inset). Dynamic light scattering (DLS) measurements showed a sharp peak at around 50 nm, thereby indicating that the UCNP@SiO2(AlC4Pc) nanoparticles had good dispersibility in water (Figure 2a). UV/Vis spectra of the UCNP@SiO2(AlC4Pc) nanoparticles showed that the nanoparticles displayed similar absorption features to free AlC4Pc, with a Q-band at about 685 nm (Figure 2b), thus implying that the structure of AlC4Pc molecules in the nanoparticles was well-retained. According to the calibration curve of the UV/Vis absorption spectra of free AlC4Pc, the AlC4Pc content in the UCNP@SiO2(AlC4Pc) nanoparticles was around 3.3 wt%.

The entrapment efficiency (AlC4Pc incorporated into the nanoparticles/total initial AlC4Pc x 100%) of AlC4Pc in the UCNP@SiO2(AlC4Pc) nanoparticles was determined by UV/Vis absorption spectra to be approximately 100%. Because AlC4Pc was covalently linked to the silica matrix, we expected that the leakage of AlC4Pc from the nanoparticles could be avoided. The release of AlC4Pc from the UCNP@SiO2(AlC4Pc) nanoparticles was also investigated in PBS solution (pH 7.40) and in a DMEM cell culture medium. Sample solutions were taken every 24 hours and centrifuged. The supernatants were then subjected to UV/Vis absorption measurements. The results showed no leakage of AlC4Pc from UCNP@SiO2(AlC4Pc) nanoparticles incubated in the two solutions for up to 5 days (Figure 2d), thereby suggesting that these nanoparticles were very stable against PS leaching. The good stability of these nanoparticles makes them good candidates for various biological applications.

**Luminescence Properties and Singlet-Oxygen Detection of UCNP@SiO2(AlC4Pc) Nanoparticles**

As shown in Figure 2c, under the excitation of a 980 nm NIR laser, the UCNP@SiO2 nanoparticles showed multiple characteristic Er3+ emission peaks at 521, 540, and 549 nm (green bands) and 651, 654, and 669 nm (red bands). The green bands were attributed to transitions from the 2H11/2 and 4S3/2 excited states of the Er3+ ions to the 4I15/2 ground state, whereas the red bands corresponded to transitions from the 4F7/2 excited state to the 4I15/2 ground state. As shown in Figure 2b, the cubic α-phase UCNP@SiO2 nanoparticles displayed intense red emission and considerable overlap with the Q-band absorption of doped AlC4Pc. This overlap ensured that AlC4Pc could effectively absorb the emitted luminescent radiation of UCNP@SiO2 nanoparticles and be activated to produce reactive oxygen species when the nanoparticles were excited with a 980 nm laser.

Singlet oxygen is thought to be the major cytotoxic species that causes cell death through the so-called type II mechanism. As a potential second-generation photosensitizer, AlC4Pc exhibits high efficiency of singlet-oxygen photogeneration. In this study, the 1O2-generation capability of the UCNP@SiO2(AlC4Pc) nanoparticles was assessed using 1,3-diphenylisobenzofuran (DPBF), a singlet-oxygen chemical probe, in CH3CN, under 980 nm laser irradiation (500 mW cm−2). DBF reacts irreversibly with 1O2 and the reaction can be followed by recording the decrease in the intensity of the DPBF absorption at around 400 nm. The changes in the absorption spectra of DPBF in the presence of UCNP@SiO2(AlC4Pc) nanoparticles after different irradiation times are shown in Figure 3. Control tests were carried out to confirm that the decrease in the absorption of DPBF
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Figure 3. Absorption spectra of UCNP@SiO₂(AlC₄Pc) nanoparticles in the presence of DPBF after different irradiation times with a 980 nm laser beam; inset: decay curves of the absorption of DPBF as a function of irradiation time. a) DPBF with UCNP@SiO₂(AlC₄Pc) nanoparticles, b) DPBF with UCNP@SiO₂ nanoparticles, and c) DPBF with free AlC₄Pc in CH₃CN.

Figure 4. a) The viability of MEAR cells incubated with UCNP@SiO₂(AlC₄Pc) nanoparticles at different concentrations, (±5)% of standard deviation. b) The cell morphology of MEAR cells incubated with UCNP@SiO₂(AlC₄Pc) nanoparticles at 100 µg mL⁻¹ for 24 h.

The cellular uptake of the UCNP@SiO₂(AlC₄Pc) nanoparticles was also confirmed by both confocal microscopy and ICP-AES (for gadolinium content). As shown in Figure 5, after 12 hours incubation with MEAR cells, red fluorescence from the nanoparticles was observed in the cells and the nanoparticles were mainly located at the cytoplasmic regions, whereas the control cells incubated without the nanoparticles showed no fluorescence under similar imaging parameters and conditions (data not shown). The efficiency of the nanoparticle uptake by MEAR cells was evaluated by incubating the cells with different concentrations of the nanoparticles for 24 hours. We observed that the accumulation of nanoparticles increased in the cells with an increasing concentration of nanoparticles (see the Supporting Information, Figure S4). These results indicated that the UCNP@SiO₂(AlC₄Pc) nanoparticles had good endocytosis capability and could be used for both imaging and therapy. Taking both these cytotoxicity and uptake results into consideration, we chose 100 µg mL⁻¹ as the optimal concentration of nanoparticles for use in the photocytotoxic experiments.

Next, we studied the effectiveness of the UCNP@SiO₂(AlC₄Pc) nanoparticles in the destruction of cancer cells triggered by NIR light. After 12 hours of incubation with these nanoparticles (100 µg mL⁻¹), the MEAR cells were irradiated with a 980 nm laser (0.5 W/cm²) for 2 or 5 minutes. The dead cells were then stained with trypan blue, a vitality dye that only stains cells where the membrane is damaged. Microscopic images of MEAR cells after different treatments are shown in Figure 6. Significant cell death was observed for MEAR cells incubated with UCNP@SiO₂.
A C H T U N G T R E N N U N G (AlC₄Pc) nanoparticles after exposure to the 980 nm laser: nearly 40% cells were killed after 5 minutes of irradiation (Figure 6b). Using NIR irradiation alone did not cause obvious cell damage (Figure 6c); cells incubated with UCN-P@SiO₂ nanoparticles (Figure 6d) or free AlC₄Pc alone (Figure 6e) also showed no obvious cell death under the same NIR-light exposure. From these results, we concluded that the absorption peak of AlC₄Pc at approximately 685 nm overlapped with the red emission peak of the upconversion NaGdF₄:Yb,Er/NaGdF₄ nanocrystals. Thus, the light emitted by the nanocrystals activated AlC₄Pc to release singlet oxygen species to kill the surrounding cancer cells.

Magnetic Resonance Imaging of Cancer Cells

Many lanthanide ions exhibit remarkable paramagnetic properties and have been used in MRI as contrast agents. In our study, the paramagnetic behavior of the Gd³⁺-doped fluoride nanocrystals and their use as contrast agents were also examined. The MR relaxivities of the UCNP@SiO₂ (AlC₄Pc) nanoparticles dispersed in water are shown in Figure 7a. The longitudinal ($r₁$) and transverse relaxivities ($r₂$) were 1.24 mm⁻¹s⁻¹ and 31.13 mm⁻¹s⁻¹ on a per-millimolar Gd³⁺ basis, respectively. The MR relativity data were comparable with other reported Gd-based UCNP contrast agents. Representative $t₁$-weighted and $t₂$-weighted images of aqueous nanoparticle solutions with different concentrations are shown in Figure 7b. The signal clearly increased with the concentration of the nanoparticles under the $t₁$-weighted mode, whilst the signal gradually darkened with an increase in the nanoparticle concentration under the $t₂$-weighted mode. These results suggested that the nanoparticles could be used for both $t₁$- and $t₂$-weighted MR imaging. We also examined the $t₂$-weighted MR-contrast effect of the UCNP@SiO₂ (AlC₄Pc) nanoparticles inside the cells. As shown in Figure 7c, the brightness of cells clearly darkened with increasing nanoparticle concentration, owing to the decrease in $t₂$ relaxation.

Conclusions

We have developed a facile strategy to synthesize core–shell upconversion nanoparticles with precise size-control and good dispersities. α-NaGdF₄:Yb,Er/NaGdF₄ (UCNP) nanocrystals encapsulated inside the silica shell converted NIR light to strong red-light emission, which was captured by photosensitizer molecules (AlC₄Pc) covalently linked to the silica shell. These UCNP@SiO₂ (AlC₄Pc) nanoparticles exhibited good stability against leaching and excellent efficiency in photogenerating cytotoxic singlet oxygen upon excitation by a NIR laser, which allowed a deeper light penetration depth than visible-light excitation. In vitro studies indicated that the UCNP@SiO₂ (AlC₄Pc) nanoparticles can enter cancer cells and effectively kill cancer cells after being exposed to NIR light. Moreover, the good $t₁$ and $t₂$ relaxivity capabilities of UCNPs were demonstrated in cell MRI imaging. Our study has possible applications in future imaging-guided therapy studies.
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Characterization

The size and morphologies of nanoparticles were determined at 300 kV using a TECNAI F-30 high-resolution transmission electron microscope. Energy-dispersive X-ray analysis (EDXA) of the samples was also performed during high-resolution TEM measurements. X-ray diffraction (XRD) measurements were recorded on a PANalytical Xpert PRO diffractometer using CuKα radiation, operating at 40 kV and 30 mA. UV/Vis absorption spectra were obtained with a DU-7400 UV/Vis spectrophotometer. The upconversion luminescence emission spectra were recorded on an USB 2000 VIS/NIR spectrometer (Ocean Optics), using an external 0–5 W adjustable 980 nm semiconductor laser (BWT Beijing Ltd) as the excitation source. Dynamic light scattering (DLS) and zeta-potential experiments were carried out on a Nano-ZS (Malvern Instruments).

Synthesis of NaGdF4:Yb/Er:NaGdF4 nanocrystals (UCNPs)

The UCNPs were synthesized according to a literature procedure with slight modifications.[29] Briefly, the NaGdF4:Yb, Er core solution was prepared by mixing 1.25 mmol of Re(CF3COO)3, (Gd/Yb/Er = 78:20:2) and 1.25 mmol of sodium trifluoroacetate in 1-octadecane (2.5 mL) and oleic acid (5 mL, solution A). The NaGdF4 shell solution was prepared by mixing 1.25 mmol of Gd(CF3COO)3 and 1.25 mmol of sodium trifluoroacetate in another reaction vessel with 1-octadecane (2.5 mL) and oleic acid (5 mL, solution B). Another mixture, which consisted of 1-octadecene (15 mL) and oleic acid (10 mL), was denoted as solution C. Solutions A, B, and C were degassed, and were isolated via centrifugation (12000 rpm, 6 min). The products were washed three times with cyclohexane/EtOH (1:8, vv−1) to remove any impurities. The as-prepared nanocrystals could be easily re-dispersed in cyclohexane.

Preparation of UCNP@SiO2(AlC4Pc) Nanoparticles

To covalently bind the AlC4Pc photosensitizer to the silica networks of the UCNP@SiO2 nanoparticles, AlC4Pc was first treated with APTES in a W/O microemulsion mixture. A transparent microemulsion after sonication. Then, TEOS (40 mL) and the AlC4Pc-functionalized silanization precursor with TEOS in a W/O microemulsion mixture. The UCNP@SiO2 nanoparticles were precipitated out by adding EtOH and were isolated via centrifugation (12000 rpm, 6 min). The products were washed three times with cyclohexane/EtOH (1:8, vv−1) to remove any impurities. The as-prepared nanocrystals could be easily re-dispersed in cyclohexane.

Experimental Section

Materials

Gadolinium oxide (Gd2O3, 99.99%), ytterbium oxide (Yb2O3, 99.99%), erbium oxide (Er2O3, 99.99%), trifluoroacetic acid (CF3COOH, 99%), sodium trifluoroacetate (CF3COONA, 98%), Igepal CO-520, tetraethoxysilane (TEOS), 3-aminopropyltrimethoxysilane (APTES), ethyl-[3-(dimethylamino)propyl]-carbodiimide hydrochloride (EDC), N-hydroxysuccinimide (NHS), 3-[4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl]-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT), and 1,3-diphenylisobenzofuran (DPBF) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Oleic acid (technical grade, 90%) and 1-octadecane (technical grade, 90%) were purchased from Alfa Aesar. Tetrasubstituted carbonyl aluminum phthalocyanine (AlC4Pc) was synthesized and purified according to a literature procedure.[30] The rare-earth trifluoroacetates were prepared by dissolving the respective rare-earth oxides in trifluoroacetic acid. All other chemicals were of analytical-reagent grade and used without further purification. The water used in all experiments was ultrapure.

Figure 7. a) Longitudinal (r1) and transverse (r2) relaxivities of UCNP@SiO2(AlC4Pc) nanoparticles. b) T1-weighted (TR 100 ms, TE 15 ms) and T2-weighted MR images (TR 4000 ms, TE 50 ms) of aqueous UCNP@SiO2(AlC4Pc) nanoparticles (0 represents pure SiO2 nanoparticles). c) T2-weighted MRI of MEAR cells incubated with UCNP@SiO2(AlC4Pc) nanoparticles at various concentrations for 12 h: 1) cell medium; 2) pure SiO2 nanoparticles; UCNP@SiO2(AlC4Pc) nanoparticles. ACHTUNG TRENUNG
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Singlet-Oxygen Detection

To assess the capability of UCNP@SiO2(AICpC) nanoparticles for singlet-oxygen (1O2) generation, 1,3-diphenylisobenzofuran (DPBF) was used as a probe molecule.10 DPBF reacts irreversibly with 1O2 to cause a decrease in the DPBF absorption signal at 400 nm. In a typical experiment, DPBF (50 μL, 1.5 mg/mL) in CH2CN) was added to a solution of the nanoparticles in CH2CN (2 mL, 1.5 mg/mL). Control experiments used DPBF with UCNP@SiO2 nanoparticles and DPBF with free AICpC in CH2CN. The solutions were then irradiated with a 980 nm laser source (2.19 A) for different time periods, and their optical densities at 400 nm were recorded in a DU-7400 spectrophotometer.

Cell Culture and Cellular Uptake

BNL 1ME A7.7R.1 (MEAR), a mouse liver hepatoma cell-line, was purchased from the cell storeroom of the Chinese Academy of Science. Cells were grown in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 5% CO2 at 37°C. To test the uptake of UCNP@SiO2(AICpC) nanoparticles, MEAR cells were plated in 35 mm tissue-culture dishes at a density of about 50000 cells per dish in DMEM. After incubation for 24 h, the culture medium was replaced by the same medium containing 100 μg/mL UCNP@SiO2(AICpC) nanoparticles. After incubation for 12 h, the cells were washed three times with PBS and their nuclei were stained with 4,6-diamino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) solution (5 μg/mL). Confocal fluorescence imaging was performed with an Olympus Fluoview 1000 laser-scanning microscope and a 60× oil-immersion objective lens. A He/Ne laser supplied the sample was filtered through a 0.22 μm long-pass filter to be used to collect emission. For the determination of 1O2 ion uptake by the MEAR cells, after the UCNP@SiO2(AICpC) nanoparticles were incubated with the cells for 24 h, the cells were washed and trypsinized from the culture plate. The rest of the procedure was similar to that mentioned above, apart from that the sample was filtered through a 0.22 μm membrane after being dissolved in HNO3.

Cytotoxicity of UCNP@SiO2(AICpC) Nanoparticles

In vitro cytotoxicity was measured by a MTT assay. Briefly, MEAR cells were seeded in 96-well cell-culture plates (Nunc) at approximately ×104 cells per well and incubated for 24 h at 37°C under a 5% CO2 atmosphere. Various concentrations of UCNP@SiO2(AICpC) nanoparticles (0, 10, 50, 100, 200, 300, and 500 μg/mL, diluted in DMEM) were then added to the wells. The cells were further incubated for 24 h at 37°C under a 5% CO2 atmosphere. Subsequently, the MTT reagent (25 μL, 5 mg/mL) was added to each well and incubated for an additional 4 h at 37°C under a 5% CO2 atmosphere. Then, the azamycin produced was dissolved in DMSO. After 10 min, the optical density at 490 nm (absorption value) of each well was measured on a Tecan Infinite M 200 monochromator-based multifunction microplate reader. Corresponding nanoparticles with cells that were not treated with MTT were used as a control. The cells viability after labeling was compared with that of unlabeled cells and expressed as the relative ratio.

Photodynamic Effect of the Nanoparticles on MEAR Cells

For optical imaging to monitor the effect of PDT, MEAR cells were seeded in a 24-well plate at a density of ×104 cells per well for 24 h. Then, the medium was replaced by a cell medium (0.5 mL per well) that contained 100 μg/mL of UCNP@SiO2(AICpC) nanoparticles, UCNP@SiO2 nanoparticles, or free AICpC. After incubation for 12 h, the cells were washed three times with PBS to remove the unbound nanoparticles. Then PBS (0.5 mL) was added and the cells were exposed to a 980 nm laser with a power density of 500 mW cm−2 for 2 and 5 min, respectively. After staining with trypan blue, optical imaging was performed by fluorescence microscopy.

In Vitro Relaxivities Measurements

Relaxivity data were determined on a Varian 7.0-T MR system from tubes that contained different concentrations of nanoparticles dispersed in water. Values of t1 were obtained by fitting an increasing exponential function (saturation-recovery equation) to a signal-T1 plot. Values of t2 were obtained by fitting a decreasing monoexponential function to signal-T2 plots. Values for t1 and t2 were calculated from the slope of linear regression fits of inverse relaxation times (relaxation rates) plotted against Gd+ ion concentration. For t1 and t2-weighted MRI, the following parameters were adopted: 1) t1, TR/TE = 100:15 ms, matrix = 128 × 128, FOV = 80 × 80, slice thickness = 2.0 mm; 2) t2, TR/TE = 4000:50 ms, matrix = 128 × 128, FOV = 80 × 80, slice thickness = 2.0 mm. To observe the MR-contrast effect of the nanoparticles within the cells, after incubation of the nanoparticles with MEAR cells for 12 h, the adherent cells were detached from the plate by treatment with trypsin-EDTA and placed in a cell medium for MR imaging. Each tube contained approximately ×105 cells.
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